

| Site/Location | Harrogate Convention | Work Area e.g. Goods-in, Yard, etc. | Q77 | Reference Number Previous RA reference # | ME01 V1 | | |
|---|-------------------------|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| Reason for Assessment | | Now Took Appagama | nt | ls a PTW required? | N/A | | |
| | | Significant Change | | f so state type i.e. | N/A | | |
| | | Periodic Review (min Review Following Act | imum 2 years) cident/Incident* | General Hot Work Working at Heights Electrical Safety | Lone Working Confined Spaces Machinery/Equipment Other | | |
| *Accident / Incie Reviewed | dent details being | | | | | | |
| Task Description Describe the task; provide a "story" that outlines the workplace, equipment and processes that is to be conducted by the individual. | | This document has been provided assessment is relevant to the assessment for externally by Motive exhibiting provide a suitable task assessmay be carried out. All stands the stand designs around out for the installation team. All stands are delivered to s contractors depending on the necessary, gloves & hard ha provided. This includes light members and split shifts members and spl | epared for exhibition stand installation be AI Dash located in stand location Q7 of materials, installation methods and or the installation and removal of the A ons and/or their approved contractors ssment. Should there be an extenuatir ds built and dismantled by Motive Exhi ur existing and available components. ite by Motive Exhibitions in our existing he size & weight of the stands. In will always be in company provided F t. Stands are always built in accordance ting and temperature. Where night bui ay be used. a dynamic risk assessment will be carried from this assessment. Should the risk risk is available. | s by Motive Exhibitions on behalf of 7 stand installations can be installe cools which are outlined in the below I Dash using the below stand type a . The below is not an exhaustive list ing aspects to this exhibition stand b bitions are designed internally by our This allows comprehensive build pl g vehicles or by using our approved PPE, consisting of steel toe cap boo the with the venue opening hours and ds are required, additional rest perior red out by the lead team member to a level increase to one that is not ac | f customers. This risk ed in a variety of locations, as well w task description and methods both internal and t but comprehensive to be able to build, a separate task assessment ur own CAD designers who build ans to be designed and provided haulage and transport ts, a high visibility vest and where d suitable conditions are always ods are factored in for team | | |
| Version Original | | Date Issued 04/10/2023 | Until an acceptable level of risk is available. Date Date Effective Issued 05/10/2023 | | | | |



| | Equipment used for building an exhibition stand; |
|----------------|--|
| | Power tools including impact driver & drill Ladders (Refer to ME02) Spirit Level & Laser measurers Hand tools such as screwdrivers, allen keys and hammers Genie Lifts Scaffold Tower Pallet Trucks for movement of equipment PPE |
| Modular Stands | Our modular stand systems are either Bematrix aluminium framework which is a lightweight and strong modular framework which comes in a variety of sizes ranging from 496mm to 2976mm. Modular stands can be a backwall, 'L' shape or have 3 sides. It could also be in an island stand configuration which could be any configuration or a combination of all 3. When this modular framework is used, depending on the structure layout, a combination of steel bases plates, steel feet, stage weights or directly fixing the stand into the floor may be used. Steel base plates are made from 3mm powder coated steel and weigh 25 kg each. These are fixed using the BeMatrix M8 fittings. When the BeMatrix is required to be fixed to the floor, it is screwed into the platform timber floor using a BeMatrix frame 1mm Pin Connector and a suitable screw. The platform floor is a 36mm raised timber platform with a floor covering of either linoleum or carpet. |
| | Where items such as TV's, shelves or signs are secured to the framework, timber inserts are put into the frame and fixed using the manufacturer's bracketing system. The weights of such equipment are taken into account in the CAD design phase and where necessary, the aforementioned fixing systems such as base plates are then factored into the CAD stress tests. Modular framework is covered with a fabric graphic printed with bespoke designs and a silicone cader stitched onto the edge. These are made to exact sizing to provide a seamless covering to the framework and timber inserts within. |
| | the velcro on the BeMatrix. Our bybrid stand designs consist of using a modular framework base with bespoke features such as timber roof sections or bespoke |
| | furniture or other aspects. These are secured to the modular framework with suitable fixings. |
| Hybrid Stands | All stands are built to a maximum of 4m in height to adhere to venue stand building guidance. Where requested, there may be rigging banners suspended above the exhibition stand. These are made out of either our modular framework, cladded with a fabric graphic or using an aluminium tubing system with a sleeve graphic over the top of it. |
| | Only the construction of the framework and fabric is done by Motive Exhibitions, All rigging and lifting is done by the venue and is not done by Motive Exhibitions or their approved sub contractors. |

| Version Original | Date Issued 04/10/2023 | Date Effective 05/10/2023 | Page 2 of 9 |
|---------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|-------------|
|---------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|-------------|



| Platform Floor | On some exhibition stands a platform floor is installed which is a 36mm timber platform floor. This is made up of 400mm x 400mm base sheets placed on the corners of adjoining top OSB sheets, with the 1000mm x 1000mm OSB sheets on top screwed into them. Where necessary 18mm 2x1 timber lengths are done over the joins to stop the floor from having movement in it. All flooring is edged with a 38mm aluminium edging angle which is screwed directly into the floor. |
|----------------|---|
| | The platform flooring allows for the safe running of electric cables beneath the top flooring sheets to remove trip hazards from the stand. |

| | ME02 - Working at Height ME03 - Use of Genie Lifts |
|---|---|
| Other applicable Risk Assessments Refer to the locations Master List and reference any related assessments or relevant manufacturers documentation in this box: | |

| Version Original | Date Issued 04/10/2023 | Date Effective 05/10/2023 | Page 3 of 9 |
|---------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|-------------|
|---------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|-------------|



1. WHAT MIGHT BE THE TYPES OF HAZARD? Every Hazard identified *MUST* be addressed in the assessment

| S F V H | ilips, Trips & Falls alls From Height Ianual Handling (specific MH RA required) lit by moving vehicle | Extreme Temperatures (Hot and Wind) Vibration Other | | | Struck by falling object Sharp objects Electricity | | | | |
|--|--|---|---------|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| lf "Ot | her" please describe | | | | | | | | |
| List any Existing Common Controls that are relevant to this task/activity NOTE: Every control MUST be referenced in the assessment | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | Venue site inductions | | 2 | Modular Framework training | | | | | |
| 3 | Experienced & trained exhibition stand installers | s used | 4 | Hazard Reporting | | | | | |
| 5 | Tools tethered to fitters when working at height | | 6 | Electrician sign off of all electrics | | | | | |
| 7 | Defect process in place for working at height eq | uipment (LOLER) | 8 | All lifting equipment regularly maintained and serviced | | | | | |
| 9 | PAT Testing | | 10 | All fitters are trained in use of hand tools including knives | | | | | |
| 11 | When working from height all fixings are secure | d within basket | 12 | When not in use all | blades are retracted | | | | |
| 13 | Where possible, A frame ladders are used | | 14 | PPE Worn at all tim | E Worn at all times | | | | |
| 15 | MHE maintained and serviced according with le | gal requirements | 16 | Only qualified elect | ricians used for all electrical work | | | | |
| 17 | Venue FLT are operated by trained personnel | | 18 | Workplace tidiness | procedures followed and practiced | | | | |
| 19 | Fitting team trained in use of power tools | | 20 | No lone working pe | rmitted | | | | |
| | | Please add additi | onal ro | ows above if needed | 1 | | | | |
| 2. WH | | | | | | | | | |

| | | Comments if Other, Multiple Groups or Vulnerable People |
|--|---|---|
| Contractors | Visitors Visitors Public | Exhibition venues have strict crowd control measures in place whilst construction phases are in session, only our team, contractors and our customers will be likely present on our stand during construction phases. |
| Agency WorkersCustomers | Vulnerable PeopleOther | |
| | | |

| Version Original | Date Issued 04/10/2023 | Date Effective 05/10/2023 | Page 4 of 9 |
|---------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|-------------|
|---------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|-------------|



| Version Original | Date Issued 04/10/2023 | Date Effective 05/10/2023 | Page 5 of 9 |
|---------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|-------------|
|---------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|-------------|



HEALTH & SAFETY RISK TASK ASSESSMENT AND ACTION PLAN

| 3.1 SPECIFIC HAZARDS Description of hazard, where it exists, what could be its effect & potential harm? (Adopt the X , Y , Z approach and when rating the risk remember it is the reasonable foreseeable worse case injury that should be reflected) | 3.2 EXISTING CONTROLS From the above 'existing common controls', list the common control numbers that are relevant to the Hazard and comment on their effectiveness. | 3.3 RISK RATING (Likelihood x Severity) | | TING d x) | 3.4 ADDITIONAL CONTROLS Describe if required to reduce the Risk Rating, and then revise the Risk Rating after these additional controls are taken into account, If no additional controls are required, enter a statement of the following 'it is considered that the remaining residual risk from this Hazard is adequately controlled' OR 'the residual risk from this Hazard cannot be totally eliminated' | 3.5 3.6 Person Responsible for action Date | | 3.7 Completed (Y or N**) | 3.8 Date Closed | Re | 3.9 Residual Risk | |
|--|--|---|-------|------------------|---|---|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------|----|-----------------------------|---|
| | | L | L S R | | **If a risk control measure is not introc recommendation in Section | luced, the reason 5 Managemen | n(s) must be giv t Review and De | en for not implectaration belov | ementing the v. | L | S | R |
| X: Person trips over packaging waste from equipment Y:Packaging waste left around working are Z:Minor injury requiring first aid | Controls 4 & 18 are effective, all other controls are ineffective | 2 | 2 | 4 | It is considered that the remaining residual risk from this Hazard is adequately controlled | | | | | | | |
| X:Person falls ladder during installation Y:Misplaces footing Z:Broken limb or weeks or months off sick | Controls 5, 7, 8, 13, 18 & 20 are all effective all other controls are ineffective | 3 | 3 | 9 | the residual risk from this Hazard cannot be totally eliminated' | | | | | | | |
| X:Person lifts framework and twists Y:Too impatient to wait for assistance Z:Pulls back and time off work 1-3 days | Controls 3 & 18 are effective, all other controls and ineffective | 2 | 2 | 4 | It is considered that the remaining residual risk from this Hazard is adequately controlled | | | | | | | |
| X: Person hit by forklift whilst moving between installation locations Y:person was out of view of operator Z: Serious Injury | Controls 1,3 & 17 are all effective. All other controls are ineffective | 2 | 4 | 8 | It is considered that the remaining residual risk from this Hazard is adequately controlled | | | | | | | |
| Version Original | Date Issue 04/10/2 | d 023 | | | Date Effective 05/10/2023 | | Page 6 of | 9 | | | | |



| X:Person hit by falling tools whilst installation happening above Y:Operator not paying attention Z: minor head injury | 2,3,5,11 & 21 are all effective | 2 | 3 | 6 | It is considered that the remaining residual risk from this Hazard is adequately controlled | | | | |
|--|---|---|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| X: person cuts themselves during fabric graphic is being trimmed | controls 10,12,14 & 15 are effective | 3 | 2 | 6 | It is considered that the remaining residual risk from this Hazard is adequately controlled | | | | |
| Y: Did not retract blade on knife | | | | | | | | | |
| Z: First aid required | | | | | | | | | |

| 3.1 SPECIFIC HAZARDS Description of hazard, where it exists, what could be its effect & potential harm? (Adopt the X , Y , Z approach and when rating the risk remember it is the reasonable foreseeable worse case injury that should be reflected) | B.1 SPECIFIC HAZARDS 3.2 Description of hazard, where it exists, what could be its effect & botential harm? EXISTING CONTROLS Adopt the X,Y, Z approach and when rating the risk remember it is he reasonable foreseeable worse case injury that should be reflected) From the above 'existing common control', list the common control numbers that are relevant to the Hazard and comment on their effectiveness. | | 3.3 RISK RATING (Likelihood x Severity) | | 3.4 ADDITIONAL CONTROLS Describe if required to reduce the Risk Rating, and then revise the Risk Rating after these additional controls are taken into account, If no additional controls are required, enter a statement of the following 'it is considered that the remaining residual risk from this Hazard is adequately controlled' OR 'the residual risk from this Hazard cannot be totally eliminated' | 3.5 Person Responsible for action | 3.6 Agreed completion Date | 3.7 Completed (Y or N**) | 3.8 Date Closed | 3.9 d Residual Risk | | lisk |
|--|--|---|---|---|---|---|--|---------------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------------|---|------|
| | | L | S | R | **If a risk control measure is not introd recommendation in Section | uced, the reaso 5 Managemen | n(s) must be giv t Review and De | ven for not imple eclaration below | ementing the | L | S | R |
| X: Person electrocuted | 1,3,6,9, 16 & 19 are effective | 2 | 4 | | The residual risk from this Hazard | | | | | | | |
| Y:due to faulty socket installation | | | | | cannot be totally eliminated | | | | | | | |
| Z: Hospitalisation | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| Version Original | Date Issued 04/10/2023 | Date Effective 05/10/2023 | Page 7 of 9 |
|---------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|-------------|
|---------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|-------------|



HEALTH & SAFETY RISK ASSESSMENT: CONSULTATION & APPROVAL

This risk assessment has been reviewed by relevant people involved in the task/activity

| Colleague Consultation | Names | Job Title/Position |
|---|-----------------|--------------------|
| The following colleagues were consulted to facilitate a team approach to this risk assessment | Edward Marshall | |
| | Daniel Hughes | |
| | | |
| Lead Assessor | Sam Hudson | Title / Position |

| Signature | | Review by Date | 24/04/2025 | | | | | |
|---|--|---------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Assessor Comments | | | | | | | | |
| This is a risk assessment for the installation Where required a specific or alternative risk | of the AI Dash stand for both the build and dismantling w assessment will be used to accompany this assessment. | hich in turn has been wri | itten to encompass all major risks and hazards. | | | | | |

| Version Original | Date Issued 04/10/2023 | Date Effective 05/10/2023 | Page 8 of 9 |
|---------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|-------------|
|---------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|-------------|



| | | | (L) LIKELIHOOD | | | | | | |
|--|--|--|---|--|-------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------|
| Note:- Likelihood and Severity should be based on a | | | | ld be based on a | 1. | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. |
| | practical and reasonable outcome | | | | Highly unlikely | Unlikely | Possible | Likely | Highly likely |
| | 5. | Extreme Harm (Fatality) | | | 5 | 10 | 15 | 20 | 25 |
| | 4. | Major Harm Permanent disablement or long term sick leave | | 4 | 8 | 12 | 16 | 20 | |
| | Harmful (Broken Limb or Non-permanent incapacity) Weeks or months off sick, hospitalisation, RIDDOR | | 3 | 6 | 9 | 12 | 15 | | |
| | 2. | 2. Slightly Harmful any injury that requires first aid 1-3 days off sick | | 2 | 4 | 6 | 8 | 10 | |
| | 1. | Cuts, abrasi No los | Minor Harm ons and minor skin or eye irritations, etc. | | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| RIS | K LE | VEL CATEGORY | SCORE | ACTIONS TO BE TAKEN | I | | | | |
| Negligible (N) 1 Negligible risk refers to a level of | | | Negligible risk refers to a level o | f risk usually presumed to | be below 1 in a million per | annum of seriously advers | e consequences occurring. | | |
| Tolerable (T)Z-4Tolerable means that the risk ar additional controls are required required to ensure that the controls | | | Id score has been reduced to the lowest level that is "reasonably practicable" i.e. in accordance with statutory obligations. No consideration may be given to a more cost effective solution or improvement that imposes no additional cost burden. Monitoring is rols are maintained. | | | | | | |
| Moderate (M) 5-12 Where practicable all efforts mu should be carefully evaluated. F | | | ist be made to reduce the risk to demonstrate as low as reasonably practicable, particularly at higher scores. The cost of prevention Risk reduction measures should be implemented with a defined time period. | | | | | | |
| Substantial (S)15-16If the residual risk cannot be reader to reduce the risk. Where the risk | | | duced lower, then the assessment must demonstrate that ALARP has been met. Considerable resources may have to be allocated sk involves work in progress urgent action (including considering prohibition) should be taken. | | | | | | |
| Intolerable (I) 20-25 Work must not be started or comprohibited. | | | | Work must not be started or com prohibited. | ntinued until the risk has be | en reduced if it is not poss | ible to reduce the risk even | with unlimited resources, | work has to remain |

| Version Date Original Issued 04/10/2023 | Date Effective 05/10/2023 | Page 9 of 9 |
|---|------------------------------|-------------|
|---|------------------------------|-------------|